IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BIOVAIL LABORATORIES INTERNATIONAL SRL a corporation of Barbados,)	
Plaintiff,) C.A. Nos. 05-58) 05-73	6 (KAJ) 0 (KAJ)
V.) 06-62	0 (KAJ)
) (CONSOLIDATE	D)
ANDRX PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC and)	
ANDRX CORPORATION,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

BIOVAIL'S MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO ANDRX'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,529,791

Plaintiff Biovail Laboratories International SRL ("Biovail") hereby respectfully moves the Court for an order in the form attached hereto, extending Biovail's time to respond to Andrx Pharmaceuticals, LLC and Andrx Corporation's (collectively "Andrx") motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,529,791 (the "791 patent") (D.I. 108) from December 11, 2006 to January 19, 2007.

This consolidated litigation is a patent infringement action concerning Andrx's infringement of two U.S. patents -- the '791 patent and United States Patent No. 7,108,866 (the "'866 patent"). Expert reports as to the '791 patent have been served, but expert depositions remain to be taken. Case dispositive motions must be filed by May 18, 2007. Trial with respect to both the '791 and '866 patents is currently scheduled for January 14, 2008. (D.I. 97).

On November 27, 2006, more than five months before the case dispositive motion deadline, Andrx filed a motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of the '791 patent. In its motion, Andrx argues that Biovail is collaterally estopped from asserting infringement based on another court's decision as to <u>different</u> Andrx products. Andrx's motion, among other things,

Case 1:05-cv-00586-GMS

raises highly technical issues regarding differences between prior Andrx products and the Andrx products that are the subject of this litigation.

Biovail respectfully requests that this Court grant it an extension until January 19, 2007 to respond to Andrx's motion for summary judgment. Biovail's request for an extension is needed because its technical expert, Dr. Gerald S. Brenner, is not available until after the December and New Year's holidays. Further, Biovail recently changed counsel from the Fitzpatrick Cella law firm to the Paul Hastings law firm, and is in the process of transferring the voluminous case files and establishing and organizing them at Paul Hastings.¹

Prior to filing this motion, Biovail asked Andrx whether it would agree to the requested extension, but Andrx refused, offering only a one-week extension, until December 18, 2006. Moreover, Andrx commented that "Andrx does not understand Biovail's request for an extension to the extent that the request is based on the availability of experts. Expert reports and rebuttals were served long ago." Andrx's comment makes little sense given that collateral estoppel is an issue on which Andrx bears the burden of proof, and it did not raise collateral estoppel in its expert reports. Indeed, none of the expert reports are directed to this defense.

Biovail's requested extension is reasonable in light of the upcoming holidays, the unavailability of its technical expert until early January and its change in counsel.

Joseph O'Malley, Jr., lead counsel for Biovail, resigned from Fitzpatrick Cella in September and is now a partner at Paul Hastings. On October 27, 2006, Biovail substituted the Paul Hastings law firm for the Fitzpatrick Cella law firm, and intends to request a revision of the case schedule at the upcoming December 18, 2006 telephone conference that takes into account the change in law firms. The proposed revision to the schedule, which Andrx has refused, would not disturb the Court's current dates for a final pretrial conference and trial.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Karen Jacobs Louden

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014) Karen Jacobs Louden (#2881) 1201 N. Market Street P.O. Box 1347 Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 (302) 658-9200 klouden@mnat.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Biovail Laboratories International SRL

OF COUNSEL:

Joseph M. O'Malley, Jr.
PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
75 East 55th Street
New York, New York 10022
212-318-6000

December 4, 2006 547999

CERTIFICATE PURSUANT TO RULE 7.1.1

I certify pursuant to D. Del. L.R. 7.1.1 that plaintiff's counsel conferred with counsel for defendants concerning the subject matter of the foregoing motion but were not able to reach agreement.

/s/ Karen Jacobs Louden

Karen Jacobs Louden

December 4, 2006

547999

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	ľ
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE	

BIOVAIL LABORATORIES INTERNATIONAL SRI a corporation of Barbados,	L))
Plaintiff,) C.A. Nos. 05-586 (KAJ)
v.) 05-730 (KAJ)) 06-620 (KAJ)) (CONSOLIDATED)
ANDRX PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC and ANDRX CORPORATION,) (CONSOLIDATED))
Defendants.)
<u>ORDER</u>	
This day of December, 200	06, the Court having considered Biovail's
Motion For An Extension Of Time To Respond To De	fendants' Motion For Summary Judgment
Of Non-Infringement Of U.S. Patent No. 5,529,791 (th	ne "Motion"), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
THAT:	
1. The Motion is granted.	
2. The time of Biovail Laborate	ories International SRL to respond to
defendants' motion for summary judgment of non-in	fringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,529,791
(D.I. 108) is hereby extended through and including Jan	nuary 19, 2007.
U 547999	S.D.J.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on December 4, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

> Richard L. Horwitz POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

and that I caused copies to be served upon the following in the manner indicated:

BY HAND AND E-MAIL

Richard L. Horwitz POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP 1313 North Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com

BY E-MAIL

Steven A. Maddox FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007 smaddox@foley.com

/s/ Karen Jacobs Louden

Karen Jacobs Louden (#2881) klouden@mnat.com